

11-09-2013

Now suppose K/\mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^e$

Def: An \mathbb{F}_q -linear polynomial $f \in K[x]$ is an additive polynomial which also satisfies $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$

Theorem: $f \in K[x]$ is \mathbb{F}_q -linear iff $f \in K[\tau_q]$, where $\tau_q(x) = x^q$

Proof:

$f \in K[\tau_q]$, let $f = f_0 x + f_1 x^q + \dots + f_n x^{q^n}$

For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ $f(\alpha x) - \alpha f(x) = \sum f_i (\alpha^{q^i} - \alpha) \cdot x^{q^i}$
 $= 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$

$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\alpha^{q^i} = \alpha}_{\text{for all } \alpha \text{ and } i \text{ s.t. } f_i \neq 0}$

$\Leftrightarrow e | i$ by Galois theory.

$\Leftrightarrow f_i = 0$ for every $e \nmid i$.

$\Leftrightarrow f \in K[\tau_q]$. □

From now on $\tau := \tau_q$, \mathbb{F}_q fixed.

$K[\tau]$ = "polynomial ring in τ " with commutation relation:
 $\tau a = a \tau \quad \forall a \in K$

in particular, $K[\tau]$ is non-commutative unless $K = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Notice: $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_\infty) = C_\infty[\tau]$.

Def: The formal derivative of $f = f_0x + f_1x^2 + \dots + f_nx^n \in K[x]$ is

$$df := f_0 + f_1x + \dots + f_nx^n$$

$$d: K[x] \rightarrow K$$

\mathbb{F}_2 -algebra homomorphism.

3.2) Moore Determinant:

R \mathbb{F}_2 -algebra, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$

Vandermonde determinant:

$$V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ x_2 & x_2^2 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \\ x_n & x_n^2 & & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$$

Similarly, we define the **Moore Determinant**:

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{2^{n-1}} \\ x_2 & x_2^2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^{2^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i + \alpha_{i-1}x_{i-1} + \dots + \alpha_1x_1)$$

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$

These factors correspond to representatives of $\mathbb{F}_2^n / \mathbb{F}_2^k$

Instead, multiplying all factors corresponding to non-zero points in \mathbb{F}_q^n , we get

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{q-1} = (-1)^n \prod_{\substack{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ \neq (0, \dots, 0)}} (\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n)$$

Corollary: $M(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ iff $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ are \mathbb{F}_q -lin dep.

3.3) Zeros of \mathbb{F}_q -linear polynomials

K/\mathbb{F}_q field, K^{alg} algebraic closure, K^{sep} separable closure of K in K^{alg}

$$G_K := \text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K).$$

Prop: $f \in K[x]$ is separable (as an element of $K[x]$) iff $df \neq 0$.

Prop: For any non-zero $f \in K[x]$

$$V = \ker f := \{x \in K^{alg} \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

forms an \mathbb{F}_q vector space of $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} V \leq \deg_x f$.

And $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} V = \deg_x f$ iff f is separable.

Proof: It is clear that V is an \mathbb{F}_q -vector space.

$\#V \leq \deg_x f = q^{\deg_x f}$ with equality iff f is separable.

□

Note: If F is separable, then $V = \ker f$ is a G_K -invariant subspace of K^{sep} . The G_K action on V gives a Galois representation

$$\rho_V: G_K \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_2} V \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Exercise: If $f = f_0 x + f_1 x^2 + \dots + f_{n-1} x^{2^{n-1}} + x^{2^n} \in K[x]$ with f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} alg. indep. over \mathbb{F}_2 then ρ_V is surjective.

leads credence to the idea that $S_n = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Theorem: For any G_K -invariant finite dimensional subspace $V \subset K^{\text{sep}}$ $\exists!$ monic $f_V(x) \in K[x]$ with $\ker f_V = V$.

Proof:

Clearly, $f_V(x) = \prod_{v \in V} (x - v) \in K[x]$ and is sep and monic. to show that it is \mathbb{F}_2 -linear:

let v_1, \dots, v_n be an \mathbb{F}_2 -basis for V , then

$$f_V(x) = \frac{M(v_1, \dots, v_n, x)}{M(v_1, \dots, v_n)}, \text{ which is } \mathbb{F}_2\text{-linear.}$$

(ker RHS $\cong V$, \deg_x LHS = \deg_x RHS, both sides are monic). \square

Variant: $e_V(x) = \prod_{v \in V, v \neq 0} (1 - \frac{x}{v})$ has $\ker e_V = V$

and $d e_V = 1$

One can easily calculate that

$$e_v(x) = \frac{M(v_1, \dots, v_n, x)}{M(v_1, \dots, v_n)^2}.$$

(c) Lattices in \mathbb{C}_∞ :

(c1) Analysis in \mathbb{C}_∞ :

Def: An **entire function** $f: \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ is a power series

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$$

which converges on all of \mathbb{C}_∞ .

(In \mathbb{C}_∞ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i$ converges $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = 0$)

f is entire $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = 0$ (radius of convergence is ∞)

Fact: 1) The set of zeros of an entire function is **strongly discrete**, i.e. any ball of finite radius contains only finitely many of these zeros.

2) An entire function with no zeros is constant.
 \Rightarrow every non-constant entire function is surjective.

3) Weierstrass Product Theorem:

Let $f: \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ be an entire function with sequence

(finite or infinite) of non-zero roots $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ (with multiplicities). Then

i) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_i| = \infty$ (if there are infinitely many zeros)

ii) $f(z) = c \cdot z^m \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda_i}\right)$ $c \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$
 $n = \text{ord}_{z=0}(f)$

Conversely, for any sequence $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ satisfying (i), (ii) defines an entire function with zeros $\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n \text{ times}}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$.

(3) Follows easily from (1) and (2).

4.2) A-lattices in \mathbb{C}_∞ :

Remark: $A := \bigcap_{p \neq \infty} \mathcal{O}_p$ is defined this way because
it is discrete in \mathbb{F}_∞ .

Def: An **A-lattice of rank r in \mathbb{C}_∞** is an A -submodule

$\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty$ satisfying

i) Λ is strongly discrete

ii) $\Lambda \mathbb{F}_\infty \cong \mathbb{F}_\infty^r$

(note: for finitely generated A -modules, strongly discrete \Leftrightarrow discrete, but this is not true for infinitely generated A -modules)

(i) + (ii) $\Rightarrow \Lambda$ is a projective A -module of rank r

Def: The **exponential function** associated to the lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty$ is

$$e_\Lambda(z) := z \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right)$$

Prop: $e_\Lambda : \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ is

- 1) entire
- 2) surjective
- 3) has a simple zero at each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and no other zeros
- 4) \mathbb{F}_q -linear
- 5) Λ -periodic (i.e. $e_\Lambda(x+\lambda) = e_\Lambda(x) \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$)

Proof:

(1), (2), (3) clear.

To show (4), write $\Lambda_n := \Lambda \cap B_n(0)$, this is a finite \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of \mathbb{C}_∞ and so

$$e_{\Lambda_n}(x) = x \prod_{0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda_n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]$$

and $e_\Lambda(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_{\Lambda_n}(x) \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[[\tau]]$

Now (5) follows from (3) + (4). □

So we now have an exact sequence of \mathbb{F}_q -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty \xrightarrow{e_\Lambda} \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

Theorem: let $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ be an Λ -sublattice of the same rank r .

Then

i) $e_{\Lambda'}(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda/\Lambda'$ as \mathbb{F}_q -spaces

ii) $e_\Lambda(z) = e_{e_{\Lambda'}(\Lambda)}(e_{\Lambda'}(z)) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$

where $e_{n, (1)}(x) \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[z]$ has $\deg_z = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\Lambda/\Lambda')$.

iii) For each $a \in A$, $\exists \varphi_a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[z]$ of $\deg_z = r \cdot \deg(a)$
 ($\deg(a) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\Lambda/a\Lambda)$)

with $d\varphi_a = a$

and $e_n(az) = \varphi_a(e_n(z)) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Proof: Since $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ are projective modules of the same rank over the Dedekind domain A , Λ/Λ' is finite.

(i) If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } e_{n, (\lambda_1)} = e_{n, (\lambda_2)} &\Leftrightarrow e_{n, (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \pmod{\Lambda'} \end{aligned}$$

so (i) follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } e_{n, (\lambda_1)}(e_{n, (z)}) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow e_{n, (z)} = e_{n, (\lambda_1)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow z \in \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

so both sides have the same roots, namely Λ .

$$d e_{n, (\lambda_1)}(e_{n, (z)}) = 1 = d e_n(z)$$

so there are no repeated roots, $\frac{e_{n, (\lambda_1)}(e_{n, (z)})}{e_n(z)}$ is

entire with no zeros, hence constant, and the constant is 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii) } a' e_n(az) &= a'(az) \prod_{0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{az}{\lambda}\right) \\ &= z \prod_{0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right) = e_{n, (\lambda_1)}(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$= e_{e_1(\alpha^{-1})}(e_1(z)) \quad \text{by (ii)}$$

So (iii) holds with

$$\varphi_a(x) = \alpha e_{e_1(\alpha^{-1})}(x)$$

$$= \alpha x \prod_{h \in e_1(\alpha^{-1})} \left(1 - \frac{x}{h}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_q[\tau]$$

$$\text{ker } \varphi_a = e_1(\alpha^{-1}) \cong \alpha^{-1}A \cong \frac{A}{\alpha A} \cong (A/\alpha A)^r$$

has dimension $r \cdot \deg \alpha$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

$$\Rightarrow \deg_{\mathbb{F}_q} \varphi_a = r \cdot \deg \alpha. \quad \square$$

Thus we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & C_\infty & \xrightarrow{e_1} & C_\alpha & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \varphi_a & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & C_\infty & \xrightarrow{e_1} & C_\infty & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\forall \alpha, \varphi_a \in C_\infty[\tau]$$

$$\varphi: A \rightarrow C_\infty[\tau] = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_\alpha(C_\infty))$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \varphi_a \quad \text{an } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-algebra homomorphism}$$

Def: A **Drinfeld A -module** over \mathbb{C}_∞
is an \mathbb{F}_q -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: A &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau] \\ a &\mapsto \varphi_a \end{aligned}$$

satisfying:

(i) $d\varphi_a = a$ (normalization)

(ii) $\varphi(A) \not\subset \mathbb{C}_\infty$ (non-triviality)

Can show: $\varphi[a] := \ker \varphi_a \cong (A/aA)^r$ $\forall a \in A$

this r is the **rank** of φ .